

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/020,991	12/19/2001	Sean X. Pan	D/A0438Q1	8912	
. 75	590 05/24/2004		EXAM	INER	
Xerox Corpora	x Corporation NOLAN, SANDRA M			ANDRA M	
Patent Documentation Center Xerox Square 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
100 Clinton Av			1772		
Rochester, NY	14644		DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>V`</u>
Office Action Summary		10/020,991	PAN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sandra M. Nolan	1772	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the new department. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a near the statutory minimum of the strong will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become a	n reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status				
2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma		6
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	drawn from consideration.		
Application	on Papers			
10) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey rrection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12)[/ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bustee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-19-01</u> .	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/020,991

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claims .

1. Claims 1-20 are pending.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 19 December 2001 was considered by the examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 9-14, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by CH 672564A (the Swiss abstract).

The Swiss abstract teaches easily-made (advantage section) bus bars having cylindrical shapes (Figure 3) with flat centers and at least two raised areas circumferentially around the center (Figure 2). The bars are coated with epoxy using dip coating (first paragraph of the CH 672564A abstract).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,991

Art Unit: 1772

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herbert (US 5,683,742) in view of the Swiss abstract.

Herbert teaches the coating of substrates after treating them with nonwetting materials so that only portions of the substrates are coated. The substrates may be cylindrical (claim 1 of the patent) and may be dip coated (col. 5, line 31).

Herbert fails to teach substrates having the shape claimed.

The Swiss abstract is discussed above.

The references are analogous because both deal with coating cylindrical objects.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to employ the Swiss abstract's substrates in the coating processes of Herbert in order to produce coated cylinders in which only some areas are coated.

The motivation to employ the Swiss abstract's substrates in the Herbert coating processes is found in the advantage section of the abstract, where the Swiss busses are said to be easy to make.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,991

Art Unit: 1772

It is deemed desirable to make busses having uncoated areas thereon easily in order to facilitate handling.

The shape of the coated busses or portions thereof is deemed a matter of design/engineering choice.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sandra M. Nolan, whose telephone number is 571/272-1495. She can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 571/272-1498.

The fax number for patent application documents is 703/872-9306.

S. M. Nolan

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

SMN/smn 10020991(20040518)